From: Susan Kim

Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 6:12 PM

To: CEQA Guidelines@CNRA Subject: Tribal Cultural Resources

Hi Holly,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Discussion Draft of Proposed Changes to Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines Incorporating Tribal Cultural Resources.

Staff has reviewed the three alternatives and recommends Alternative 1.

In response to AB 52, the City of Anaheim has updated its checklist to provide three separate impact categories as follows:

- · Cultural Resources Would the project:
- a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines and/or identified on the Qualified Historic Structures list of the Anaheim Colony Historic District Preservation Plan (April 15, 2010)?
- b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?
- c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?
- Tribal Cultural Resources Would the project:
- a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource as defined in §21074?
- · Paleontological Resources Would the project:
- a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

Staff recommends the same changes to Appendix G, with the exception of the City-specific historic resources section.

Susan Kim, AICP, LEED AP ND

Principal Planner, Advanced Planning and Special Projects Anaheim Planning and Building Department